SELE & FROMMELT

ADVOKATURBÜRO

HUGO SELE

lic. iur., lic. oec. HSG, Rechtsanwalt hugosele@sflaw.ii

VEIT FROMMELT Dr. iur., Rechtsanwalt veit frommelt@slaw.ii

HEINZ J. FROMMELT Dr. lur., Rechtsanwalt helnzfrommelt@sflaw.ii COHEN PONTANI LIEBERMANN & PAVANE Att. Martin B. Pavane 551 Fifth Avenue NEW YORK 10176-1022 USA

Ihr Zeichen 2000/10y/muelme11a

Unser Zeichen

BA/ms

Vaduz

25 October 2000

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

Dear Sirs

I have been asked to render a Legal Memorandum to demonstrate that the persons signing the Declaration to Accompany Application for Patent, by an Heir (hereinafter called "the Declaration") on behalf of the deceased inventor are all heirs of the deceased inventor and are authorized to act on behalf of the deceased inventor.

In order to issue this Legal Memorandum I have examined:

- Copy of the Declaration dated 4 November 1999, signed by Mrs. Colette Potier-Mueller;
- Copy of the Last will of Mr. Paul Mueller dated 18 September 1995;
- 3. Copy the Minutes of the probate proceedings dated 29 November 1996 at the Princely Court of Justice of Vaduz:
- 4. Resolution of the Princely Court of Justice dated 20 January 1997 designated as Document of the Transfer of the Estate.

The documents mentioned under items 1 - 4 represent copies of the originals made by my assistant, Mrs. Ariane Baer, while taking access to the court file, A 38/96, on or around 28 September 2000.

Based on and subject to the foregoing and subject to the qualification that all signatures appearing on the documents are genuine, I am stating:

a) Mr. Paul Mueller designated his wife, Mrs. Colette Poti r-Mu ller, as sole heir of his estate.

SELE & FROMMELT

ADVOKATURBÜRO

b) During the hearing of the probate proceeding before the Princely Court of Liechtenstein as of 29 November 1996, Mrs. Colette Potier-Mueller accepted the inheritance on a contingent basis in accordance with the last will of her late spouse, Mr. Paul Mueller, dated 18 September 1995.

The Princely Court then accepted the inheritance declaration of Mrs. Potier-Mueller.

- c) By separate Resolution dated 20 January 1997 and designated as Document of the Transfer of the Estate, the Princely Court declared Mrs. Colette Potier-Mueller, born 14 June 1931, 15 Boulevard Louis II, MC-98000 Monaco, as heir of the late Mr. Paul Mueller and terminated the probate proceedings.
- d) Mrs. Colette Potier-Mueller is the sole heir of the late Mr. Paul Mueller and there are no other heirs.
- e) Mrs. Colette Potier-Mueller, when signing a Declaration, is acting as the sole and only heir of the deceased inventor, having been put into such place by resolution of the Princely Court of Justice dated 20 January 1997, designated as Document of the Transfer of the Estate. The effect of such resolution is, that all the assets of the deceased inventor, including patent rights or any other rights have become part of the assets of Mrs. Colette Potier-Mueller. As owner of those rights, it is in her unfettered discretion to dispose of them. Thus, Mrs. Colette Potier-Mueller is not just acting on behalf of the deceased inventor as the deceased inventor's sole legal representative, but is acting on her own as owner and proprietor of the deceased inventor's assets and intellectual property rights.

I am admitted to practice as a lawyer in the Principality of Liechtenstein and I am therefore qualified to render this Legal Memorandum as to all aspects of the laws of the Principality of Liechtenstein.

Yours sincerely,

SELE & FROMMELT Attorneys at Law

Dr. Veit Frommelt

dullani

Encl.

Princely Court of Justice

0741 Vaduz Land Registry Office Received March 5th, 1997 Time: 9:02 a.m.

DOCUMENT OF THE TRANSFER OF THE ESTATE

The Princely Court of Justice in Vaduz transfers the estate of

[round seal: Princely Court of Justice]

Paul MÜLLER, born 19.04.1906, Swiss citizen, married, resident at 9497 Triesenberg, Spenni 600,

deceased on 05.01.1996 in Monaco having deposited a last will and testament, to the ownership of the heir specified below, who has declared her conditional acceptance as heir, namely

the inheriting widow

Colette MÜLLER, nee Potier (handwritten correction: Colette POTIER-MÜLLER), born 14.06.1931, 15, Boulevard Louis II, MC-98000 Monaco 5, in entirety

on the basis of the will dated 18.09.1995 – subject to various legacies in cash and in kind – and declares the probate proceedings to be terminated.

According to the inventory by the local government office and the results of the probate hearing dated 29.11.1996, the assets consist of:

 Total ASSETS
 CHF 5,060,834.10

 Total LIABILITIES
 CHF 35,272.65

 NET ESTATE
 CHF 5,025,561.45

[Round stamp: Principality of Liechtenstein Receipt for fee CHF 3.-]

[Certified Copy Public Register Office Principality of Liechtenstein Signed by Julius Nägele, authentication officer 10 October 2000] The Land Register transfer of the ownership right to the estate property

Tbg. Plot No. 2799, Plan 33, Spenni, residential building No. 600, building area, courtyard and garden, 4 at 22 m²

for the inheriting widow:

Colette MÜLLER, nee Potier (handwritten correction: Colette POTIER-MÜLLER), born 14.06.1931

in entirety

is approved, and the Land Registry Office is instructed to effect such transfer. This document of the transfer of the estate shall be submitted ex officio for review to the authority competent pursuant to the Land Transactions Act dated 09.12.1992, Official Gazette 1993/49 as amended. The Land Registrar shall be informed that the transfer of the ownership right or the acquisition of rights equivalent to ownership of land may only be effected following submission of a notice pursuant to Art. 21 of the Land Transactions Act.

Pursuant to Sec. 46 of the Probate Instruction, Art. 91 Para. 2 of the Taxation Act dated 30.1.1961, Official Gazette 1961 No. 7 as amended, the fees and taxes (probate, inheritance tax) shall be paid by the heirs before distribution of the inheritance.

Princely Court of Justice Vaduz, 20.01.1997

[Round Stamp: Princely Court of Justice]

(Signature illegible)
Lic. iur. et oec. Rudolf Fehr
Princely Judge

[Certified Copy Public Register Office Vaduz, 10 October 2000 Signed by Mr. Julius Nägele, authorization officer]

[round stamp: Principality of Liechtenstein Receipt for fee CHF 3,-]

REGISTERED
March 5th 1997
Land Registry Office of the
Principality of Liechtenstein, Vaduz
(Signature illegible)

Information on the notification:

The legal remedy of appeal against this ruling may be submitted to the Princely Superior Court in Vaduz within the non-extendable deadline of 14 days from service hereof. An appeal can be placed on record orally at the Court of Justice or is to be submitted in writing in one copy to the Court of Justice. The appeal on the law must contain the specific declaration of the extent to which the ruling is contested, the equally specific and brief statement of the reasons for contesting the ruling (grounds for the appeal) and the declaration whether the petition is for the setting aside or amendment, and if necessary which amendment, of the contested ruling (appeal petition). If the ruling is contested on the grounds of the incorrect legal assessment on which it is based, the appeal shall state without verbosity the reasons why the legal assessment of the case appears incorrect. For the rest, the submissions of fact and the evidence by which the truth of the grounds for the appeal can be proven must be stated exhaustively.

An appeal has no suspensive effect with respect to the execution of the contested ruling and the entry into enforceability thereof, with the exception of penal orders. If, however, the suspension of the proceedings and the execution of the contested ruling would cause the opposing party no unreasonable disadvantage, and if also the purpose of the appeal would be frustrated without such suspension, the court shall (only) on request, to which must be attached justification, order interlocutory suspension.

[Round stamp: Certified Copy Public Register Office Vaduz Vaduz, 10 October 2000 Signed by Mr. Julius Nägele, authorization officer]

[Round stamp: Principality of Liechtenstein

Receipt for fee]

APOSTILLE

(Convention de la Haye du 5 octobre 1961)

1. Country: Principality of Liechtenstein

This public document

2. has been signed by Mr. Julius Nägele

3. acting in the capacity of authentication officer at the Princely Court of Justice

4. bears the seal/stamp of the Office of the Princely Court of Justice

Certified

5. at 9490 Vaduz 6. the 10 Oct. 2000

7. by the Governmental Office, Vaduz

8. Number: 0008504 10. Signature:

9. Seal/Stamp: (Signature illegible)

(round fee stamp of Sybille Ritter

the Principality of Liechtenstein – CHF 30.-)

Administrative Official

The correctness of the translation is certified and legalized by:



INTERLINGUA Anstal. Postfach 376 FL-9490 VADU 7



Die Echtheit der Unterschrift der Frau Dolores HOOP, Bogenstrasse 231, FL-9491 Ruggell, wird beglaubigt.
Fürstliche Landgerichtskanzlei Vaduz, den 13.0KT. 2000

Julius Nägele Urkundsperson

A 38/96

Fürstliches Landgericht

GRUNDEUCHAMT VADUZ

- 5. März 1997

: 0741

Zeit: 902 Uhr

29

EINANTWORTUNGSURKUNDE

Vom Fürstlichen Landgericht in Vaduz wird der Nachlass dem am 05.01.1996 in Monaco mit Hinterlassung einer letztwilligen Anordnung verstorbenen

Paul MÜLLER, geb. 19.04.1906, schweizerischer Staatsangehöriger, verh., wohnhaft gewesen in 9497 Triesenberg, Spenni 600,

der nachbenannten Erbin, die sich bedingt als Erbe erklärt hat, und zwar

der erbl. Witwe:

hon. Colette MÜLLER geb. Potier, geb. 14.06.1931, 15, hon. West Boulevard Louis II, MC-98000 Monaco 5,

zur Gänze,

Grund des Testamentes vom 18.09.1995 belastet mit verschiedenen Bar- und Sachlegaten -Eigentume eingeantwortet und Verlassenschaftsabhandlung für beendet erklärt.

Das Vermögen besteht laut gemeindeamtlicher Inventur und den Ergebnissen der Verlassenschaftsabhandlung vom 29.11.1996 aus:

Totale AKTIVEN

CHF 5.060.834,10

Totale PASSIVEN CHF 35.272,65

REINNACHLASS

CHF 5.025.561,45

Mit der Urschrift gleichlautend Fürstliche Langgarichtskan:/lei Vaduz Vaduz, amdutius Nägela Urkundsperson

Die grundbücherliche Umschreibung des Eigentums rechtes an der Nachlassliegenschaft

Tbg.Parz. Nr. 2799, Plan 33, Spenni, Wohnhaus Nr. 600, Gebäudegrundfläche, Hofraum und Garten mit 4 a 22 m2

für die erbl. Witwe:

Portez
Colette MÜLLER geb. Potier, geb. 14.06.1931,

zur Gänze

wird bewilligt und der Vollzug dem Grundbuchamte aufgetragen. Diese Einantwortungsurkunde wird von Amtes wegen der nach dem Grundverkehrsgesetz vom 09.12.1992, LGB1. 1993/49 idqF, zuständigen Behörde zur Prüfung vorgelegt. Der Grundbuchführer wird darauf hingewiesen, dass die Umschreibung des Eigentumsrechtes oder der Erwerb von Eigentum an Grundstücken gleichgestellten Rechten nur nach Vorlage eines Vermerkes nach Art. 21 Grundverkehrsgesetz erfolgen darf.

Gemäss § 46 Verlassenschaftsinstruktion, Art. 91 Abs. 2 Steuergesetz vom 30.1.1961, LGBl. 1961 Nr. 7 in der geltenden Fassung, sind die von den Erben geschuldeten Gebühren und Steuern (Nachlass-, Erbanfallsteuer) vor Verteilung der Erbschaft zu entrichten.

Fürstliches Landgericht Vaduz, am 20.01.1997

Lic. iur. et oec. Rudolf Fehr Fürstlicher Landrichter

Mit der Urschrift gleichlaute d

Fürstliche Landg 1:00 pp fanz / i Va Vaduz, am Julius Wagele Urkundsperion EINGETRAGEN

05. März 1997

F. L. Grundouchami, vaduz

Zur Nachricht:

Gegen diesen Beschluss ist unerstreckbaren Frist von 14 Tagen ab Zustellung das Rechtsmittel des Rekurses an das Fürstliche Obergericht in Vaduz zulässig. Ein Rekurs kann beim Landgericht mündlich zu Protokoll erklärt werden oder ist schriftlich in einem Exemplar beim einzubringen. Der Landgericht Rekurs muss bestimmte Erklärung, inwieweit der Beschluss angefochten wird, die ebenso bestimmte Bezeichnung der Gründe der Anfechtung (Rekursgrunde) und die Erklärung, ob die Aufhebung eine Abänderung und gegebenenfalls welche Abänderung des angefochtenen Beschlusses beantragt wird (Rekursantrag) enthalten. Wenn der Beschluss wegen der ihm zugrundeliegenden unrichtigen rechtlichen Beurteilung angefochten wird, ist im Weitläufigkeiten darzulegen, Rekurs ohne welchen Gründen die rechtliche Beurteilung Sache unrichtig erscheint. Im übrigen sind das tatsächliche Vorbringen und die Beweismittel, durch welche die Wahrheit der Rekursgründe erwiesen werden kann, erschöpfend anzugeben.

Ein Rekurs hat in bezug auf die Ausführung angefochtenen Beschlusses und den Eintritt Vollstreckbarkeit desselben keine aufschiebende Wirkung, ausgenommen bei Strafverfügungen. Wenn iedoch aus der Hemmung des Verfahrens, der Ausführung des angefochtenen Beschlusses der unverhältnismässiger Gegenpartei kein erwächst und ausserdem ohne solche Hemmung Zweck des Rekurses vereitelt würde, verfügt (nur) auf Antrag, dem eine Begründung Gericht beizufügen ist, die einstweilige Hemmung.

> Mit der Uredhrift gleichlaute d Füreglufte Lundgerhij Scharzije Voduz 10.0KT 2000 ----Julius Nägele Urkundsperson

APOSTILLE (Convention de la Haye du 5 octobre 1961)

- 1. Land: Fürstentum Liechtenstein Diese öffentliche Urkunde
- 2. ist unterschrieben von Herrn Julius Nägele
- 3. in seiner Eigenschaft als Beglaubigungsperson beim Fürstl. Liecht. Landgericht
- 4. sie ist versehen mit dem Siegel/Stempel des (der) Fürstl. Liecht. Landgerichtskanzlei

Bestätigt 1 0. 0KT. 0 0

5. in 9490 Vaduz 6. am

7. durch Regierungskanzlei Vaduz

8. unter Nr.

9. Siegel Sternbei 10. Unterednik

Verwaltungs-Angestellte